

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

\* \* \*

CHRISTOPHER A. JONES,  
Plaintiff,

v.

BRENDA TIERNEV, et al.,  
Defendants.

Case No. 2:23-cv-01527-RFB-DJA

**ORDER**

Before the Court for consideration is the Report and Recommendation (ECF No. 9) of the Honorable Daniel J. Albregts, United States Magistrate Judge, entered on March 20, 2024. A district court “may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or recommendations made by the magistrate.” 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). A party may file specific written objections to the findings and recommendations of a magistrate judge. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); Local Rule IB 3-2(a). When written objections have been filed, the district court is required to “make a de novo determination of those portions of the report or specified proposed findings or recommendations to which objection is made.” 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); see also Local Rule IB 3-2(b). Where a party fails to object, however, a district court is not required to conduct “any review,” de novo or otherwise, of the report and recommendations of a magistrate judge. Thomas v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140, 149 (1985). Pursuant to Local Rule IB 3-2(a), objections were due by April 3, 2024. No objections have been filed. The Court has reviewed the record in this case and concurs with the Magistrate Judge’s recommendations.

1           **IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED** that the Report and Recommendation (ECF No. 9) is  
2 **ACCEPTED** and **ADOPTED in full**.

3           **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that Plaintiff's case is **DISMISSED** without prejudice.

4           The Clerk of Court is instructed to close this matter accordingly.

5  
6           **DATED:** April 16, 2024

7  
8           

9           \_\_\_\_\_  
10 **RICHARD F. BOULWARE, II**  
11 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE**